

ADVANCED POWER SYSTEM AUTOMATION FOR RELIABLE AND EFFICIENT SMART GRID MANAGEMENT

Soniya Khan¹, Asst. Prof. Raghunandan Singh Baghel²

Research Scholar, Department of Electrical Engineering, Samrat Vikramaditya

Vishwavidyalaya, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Samrat Vikramaditya

Vishwavidyalaya, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh²

Email: soniyakhan1016@gmail.com¹, raghunandan.baghel@gmail.com²

ABSTRACT

The smart grid has emerged as a leading paradigm in contemporary electrical engineering due to the urgent transition towards sustainable and resilient energy infrastructures. A meta-analysis of previous research on smart grid development is presented in this paper, particularly on power system automation and intelligent control techniques. The widespread adoption of advanced metering infrastructure (AMI), distributed energy resources (DERs), and supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems has radically transformed the operational structure of power systems. Thus, intelligent control strategies like model predictive control (MPC) [12], fuzzy logic controllers [13], artificial neural networks (ANNs) [14], and multi-agent systems (MAS) [15] have been investigated as enablers for real-time optimization of the grid and fault resilience. This review integrates results from over 30 years of research on the benefits of automation and its frameworks for load balancing, demand response, voltage regulation, and energy storage integration. The meta-analysis shows widespread positive changes in performance across intelligent control implementations, however, there still gaps in terms of standardization, cybersecurity and interoperability capabilities. This paper, by carrying out a critical review of 30 foundational and contemporary references, exposes commonalities, methodological constraints and primary paths for waterways in the future of smart grid technologies.

Keywords: Smart Grid¹; Power System Automation²; Intelligent Control³; Advanced Metering Infrastructure⁴; Distributed Energy Resources⁵.

1. Introduction

The global energy industry is at a historic inflection point driven by climate drivers, technology advances and shifting consumer sentiment. The majority of conventional power grids, designed mostly in the mid-twentieth century for unidirectional power flow and centralized generation, are no longer capable to tackle the complexity of modern-day energy ecosystems. The restructuring of the grid architecture is required as a result of the

integration of renewable energy sources, electric vehicles, battery storage systems, and prosumer behavior. Smart grid: A complete definition for potentially all the design problem The smart grid originated from the early 2000 to the challenges above and offers a very holistic solution by relying on the mixing of sensing, communication, computation, and control technologies to create an electrically interconnected, data intensive, self-healing network. Worldwide, smart grid packages have attracted huge investment: the International Energy Agency estimates that cumulative spending on smart grids will exceed \$750 billion by the 12 months 2023. However, the complete potential of smart grids cannot be achieved without cohesive understanding of historical studies, as well as by addressing whether power system automation and intelligent control have driven operational performance.

1.1 Evolution of Power System Automation

Over the last fifty years, power system automation has become an entirely different beast. Prior to the adoption of open standards, automation was limited to protection relaying and simple supervisory control with little instrumentation communications capability. A major step forward was the availability of SCADA systems from the 1970s, as they allow remote monitoring of substations or transmission equipment among others. These were followed in successive decades by energy management systems (EMS) and distribution management systems (DMS) equipped with optimization algorithms for economic dispatch and network reconfiguration. In the 1990s, phasor measurement units (PMUs) became available, at a level of temporal resolution that provided wide-area situational awareness in a way that was never before possible, and digital relays and intelligent electronic devices (IEDs) replaced their electromechanical forebears. Modern day automation frameworks are defined by a high level of interoperability between field devices and enterprise software layers, thanks to integration standards such as IEC 61850 and DNP3. The evolution of automation has now reached end-use premises via smart meters, automated demand response platforms, and home energy management systems (HEMS) that can create a holistic evolution from equipment-level automation to system-level intelligence.

1.2 Role of Intelligent Control in Smart Grid Operations

Intelligent control techniques are the brain of the smart grid architecture as they provide meaningful and actionable decisions based on the data-rich inputs from the sensing and communication infrastructure, ultimately determining the operation behavior of the grid. Classical control approaches, based on Parsky linear systems premise, are not able to appropriately handle the stochastic, non-linear and multi-scale dynamics of today's power networks. As a result, the research focus has gradually been redirected to computational intelligence methods such as fuzzy logic, artificial neural networks, genetic algorithms, reinforcement learning and hybrid metaheuristic methods. They provide robustness against uncertainty, adaptivity to changing operating conditions, and allow concurrent optimization of complex multi-objective functions. In applications such as energy storage dispatch and voltage regulation, model predictive control has received particular attention due to the ability to integrate future predictions and explicitly enforce operational constraints. Multi-agent systems

have become an effective platform for managing distributed resources over geographically restricted grids. Collectively, these smart control paradigms are transforming the operational philosophy of power systems from destructive management to proactive and anticipatory optimization.

1.3 Scope and Objectives of the Review

This review paper intends to localize and systematically synthesize the research on smart grid developments related to the automation and intelligent control of power systems. The major goals of this paper are four-fold, (i) conduct a survey of the technological bases and architectural components of smart grids as reported in the literature, (ii) investigate the approaches taken in design and verification of automation and control architectures, (iii) evaluate the strengths, weaknesses and research gaps highlighted in previous works through a meta-analytical framework, and (iv) summarize actionable recommendations for future research directions. Scope includes peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and technical reports from 1990 to 2024, focusing on empirical and simulation studies. The papers discuss subjects such as SCADA systems, advanced metering infrastructure, demand response, integration of renewable energy, volt/var control, frequency control, and communication protocols. This paper is organized gradually building from definitions to survey, to methodology, to critical synthesis, and ends with visioning and conclusions.

2. Literature Survey

Smart grid fundamental structure was described in detail by Amin and Wollenberg [1] who laid out the needs for a self-healing and interactive, secure grid, for gradual adoption of distributed generation and active participation of consumers. Others have chiseled the framework into engravings of future tech/ policy plans. The U.S. Department of Energy [2] then issued the following seven main characteristics of smart grids including asset optimization and efficient operation, and these characteristics have become widely accepted benchmarks of smart grid maturity. Fang et al. [3] carried out an extensive survey of smart grid communications infrastructure, classifying the major enabling technologies such as power line communication, fiber optics, wireless mesh networks, and cellular systems. They proved that communication latency and reliability have a direct impact on control loop performance, thus creating a bridge between the physical and cyber domain of smart grids. In the field of advanced metering infrastructure (AMI), Gungor et al. His team [4] explored the communication technologies to be used for the AMI deployment, characterizing the conflicting effect of range, bandwidth and energy efficiency in smart meter network. His made clear that standard communication protocols were required for devices in heterogeneous device ecosystems to be able to interoperate. Moslehi and Kumar [5] presented a reliability-centered view of the smart grid enabling technologies, stating that self-healing can be defined by the presence of distributed intelligence and real-time situational awareness automation. They introduced quantitative reliability metrics that have been incorporated in smart grid performance assessment frameworks. Peterman's et al. provided a comprehensive analysis on the integration of distributed energy resources to distribution networks. Dating as far back as October 2023, [6] recorded both the technical

challenges involved in reverse power flow and the economic rationale for dispersed generation in terms of reduced transmission losses and deferring system investment.

The research of intelligent control has gone through multiple lines of parallel developments. Without assuming any system model, Vlachogiannis and Hatziaargyriou [7] used reinforcement learning for reactive power control in distribution networks and showed that their approach converges to near-optimal policies. In their paper, they obtained the simulation results on the IEEE 30-bus system where the reductions of voltage deviation exceeded 15% caused by conventional voltage regulators were achieved. Logenthiran et al. A multi-agent system for demand side management in smart grids including simulations across a neighborhood of residential loads and achieving peak shaving around 20%, while minimizing consumer inconvenience costs was proposed in [8]. Xu and Li [9] also examined the use of fuzzy logic control in a hybrid energy storage system with a battery and supercapacitor and their rule-based controller exceeded the performance of a conventional PI regulator in response to fast load transients and provided improved state-of-charge profiles and longer cycle life for batteries. Parisio et al. reviewed a comprehensive survey of model predictive control in smart grid applications. MPC was employed in a microgrid energy management system with photovoltaic generation, battery storage, and controllable load [10]. The experimental validation on a laboratory microgrid showed cost reductions of 16-24% compared to rule-based energy management in different seasonal scenarios. Garcia-Torres et al. At a slightly higher level, [11] formed a distributed optimization problem over a cooperative system of microgrids by extending the MPC framework to interconnected microgrids via a common distribution bus, and implemented their optimization solution via the alternating direction method of multipliers [12]. They demonstrated that the results converged in five iterations under normal operating conditions, and thus, showed that distributed MPC is computationally tractable for real-time control.

One of the major enabling capabilities for proactive grid management is load forecasting using data science techniques including: Artificial Neural Networks belong to the class of techniques that have been extensively applied to load forecasting. Hippert et al. A systematic literature review on load forecasting studies based on ANN has been performed by [12], which highlight the architectural choices, training framework and errors metrics across dozens of studies. The meta-analysis showed a general superiority of recurrent network architectures compared to feedforward networks across deep learning representations for short-term load forecasting, with mean absolute percentage errors generally being between 1% and 3% for hourly forecasting. Kong et al. then investigated deep learning extensions... [13] Trained long short-term memory (LSTM) networks for disaggregated household load forecasting and significantly outperforming statistical and classical machine learning baselines for a wide range of residential profiles. With networked devices and internet-connected systems, cybersecurity has become concern for smart grid automation because this leads to a significantly increased attack surface. Liang et al. A taxonomy of cyber-attacks on smart grid systems was proposed [14] that included the description of false data injection attacks, denial of service attacks and man-in-the-middle attacks with an assessment on the risk rating level of each attack on the accuracy of state estimation as well as the performance of control system. Rawat and Bajracharya [15] conducted an overview on detection

algorithms for cyberattacks in smart grids, comparing statistical anomaly detection, machine learning classifiers, and physical model based approaches between each other. They found that comparing both, hybrid detection frameworks incorporating both data-driven and physics-based models outperformed the others; in fact, it achieved detection rates of detection rates $> 95\%$ on benchmark attack datasets while maintaining low false positive rates.

Arguably one of the biggest impacts of renewable energy integration is on the frequency and voltage control of the power system since many renewable technologies have variable generation, which brings stochasticity to the supply-demand balance. Robust power system frequency control has been dealt with extensively by [16] wherein a justification was found for a controller that was robust to the uncertainties of the generation mix and load composition. The increasing integration of inverter-based resources such as solar photovoltaic and wind generation, has prompted research into virtual synchronous generator control reviewed in [17], which provides an inertia-like dynamic behavior to power electronic converters, to assist in the frequency stability of the system grid. Xu et al. [378 3790616] investigated the effectiveness of demand response as a flexible resource for frequency regulation. Demand response as a flexible resource for frequency regulation as proven through simulation modelling and hardware in loop experiments by [18] showing that aggregated thermostatically controlled loads are capable of delivering modern frequency control ancillary services in similar response times to thermal generators (less than 1 second). Integrating electric vehicle fleets is one of the hardest problems faced by the smarter grid. The vehicle-to-grid idea was first considered by Kempton and Tomic [19], who analytically showed that a large fleet of EVs could store enough energy to compensate for variations in wind power production. Optimization studies performed here at Liu et al. [20] addressed EV charging scheduling as a stochastic optimization problem by modelling uncertain arrival and departure times, achieving reduced peak-to-average load ratio and charging costs via coordinated scheduling.

Divya and Ostergaard [21], on the other hand, have reviewed energy storage systems more broadly, classifying technologies according to energy density, power density, response time, and cycle efficiency, enabling a prescriptive guidance on how storage technology convolutes with the specific grid service requirements such as peak shaving, frequency regulation, and backup power. Microgrids have received considerable research interest as testbeds to explore smart grid control ideas. The microgrid exposed concept and its potential for increased reliability and operation in island mode without any interruption support under distributed energy management [22]. Guerrero et al. [23] A review of hierarchical control architectures for microgrids that identify distinct primary, secondary, and tertiary control levels which are respective droop control, voltage and frequency restoration, and economic dispatch. Their framework has become a commonly embraced reference architecture for the design of control systems within microgrids. Mengelkamp et al. analyzed blockchain-based peer-to-peer energy trading in the context of smart grids example, allowed a decentralized electricity trading based on local market pilots in Brooklyn New York [24].

3. Methodology

This review employs a methodology which combines systematic literature search strategies with a meta-analytical evaluation framework that is aimed at the heterogeneous empirical context of smart grid research. The key literature review carried out through various scholarly databases such as IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, Scopus, and Web of Science using a well-defined query comprising the conjoint of the term smart grid, terms related to power system automation, intelligent control, and its relevant sub-domains such as SCADA, AMI, demand response, microgrid and renewable integration. By applying the filters of publication date (1990–2024), peer-review, and relevance to the predefined scope, we obtained a corpus of 800+ articles. Two-tiered screening involved title and abstract screening to remove non-topical studies, followed by full text review of works that failed to demonstrate methodological rigour or empirical testing. Of the 83 aggregated papers, 30 sources formed a final reference set that represented a variety of recent foundational theoretical work, simulation-based studies, experimental demonstrations, and previous review papers maintaining balanced coverage of the field. A qualitative comparative framework was established, where studies used in the meta-analytical synthesis were evaluated by the following dimensions: problem domain, system scale, control methodology, validation approach, performance metrics, key findings and limitations.

Only where multiple studies report the same performance measure for the same problem (such as load forecasting accuracy, peak demand reduction, voltage deviation improvement, and energy cost savings), was quantitative meta-analysis performed. Researchers adopted the common metric of estimating effect size using improvement over baseline methods: The weighted averages were computed according to study sample size and experimental fidelity. Studies that reported simulation-only results were separated from those that provided experimental or field validation and sensitivity analyses were employed to assess the effect of study type on pooled conclusions. In this context, the methodological stratification enables the differentiation between well-supported (empirically validated) and theoretically proven (but not practically verified) performance claims. The methodological component of the critical analysis utilized a formalized appraisal rubric assesses each study category along axial dimensions of technical innovation, practicability and scalability, adherence to standardization, reproducibility, and downstream applicability. We evaluated Innovation based on how much the proposed method provided in advancements over the existing state of the art as demonstrated by comparison benchmarks. Scalability was addressed by analyzing whether the methods were demonstrated on realistic grid scales or were limited to a small test system. Standardization compliance is defined as assessing compliance with established communication and interoperability standards. Full descriptions of methods and access to datasets or simulation environments informed a measure of reproducibility. Forward applicability captured the extent to which results were anticipated to hold true in light of ongoing trends with power system composition and regulatory environment. Synthesis of these dimensions allowed identification of areas of convergent finding, contradictions in the literature and high-priority gaps for future research investment.

4. Critical Analysis of Past Work

A review of the literature reviewed for this research highlights overarching trends and practice areas weaknesses, limiting the pace of translation of research progress to operational smart grid deployments. The core of the problem lies in the usage of simplified simulation environment that doesn't properly emulate real-world grid complexity. Much of the intelligent control literature shows impressive results on standardized IEEE test systems like the 14-bus, 30-bus, and 118-bus networks, but does not consider the scalability of their approach to real-world utility-scale grids with 1000s of nodes, a diversity of device types, and entrenched communication infrastructures. A vast disconnect still exists from laboratory demo to field deployment, with fewer than 20% of the reviewed studies validating their findings on real hardware or live utility data. Because experimental assessments with realistic operating conditions (including measurement noise, communication latencies, equipment failures, and adversarial behavior), which is necessary to quantify the robustness and practical implement ability of proposed control schemes, is typically absent, one can end up with greatly uncertain design choices. Editor Mythological Variability of Methodological Qualities across Reviewed Studies constitute a second major analytical challenge 3. Due to the lack of universal benchmarking standards for smart grid control algorithms, it is incredibly challenging to compare performance directly. Studies use incompatible metrics, different test systems, and differ in the baseline comparators making meta-analytical aggregation inaccurate. Demand response, in particular, reports peak reduction in different formats percent of baseline peak, kilowatt-hours shifted, or undivided savings or consumer satisfaction indices precluding easy cross-comparison. Likewise, load forecasting studies apply different error metrics, forecast horizons and validation methodologies, making rigorous comparative evaluation notoriously difficult. The existence of such methodological fragmentation reflects the still nascent state of standardization in smart grid research and precludes the accumulation of a reliable, generalizable evidence base. With this challenge, one of the leading priorities in advancing the field is the development of community-accepted baselines similar to those employed in machine learning research.

Cybersecurity issue is still significantly underrepresented in the smart grid control literature. However, the addition of security restrictions into the design of automation and control systems is generally lacking, even though research dedicated to cybersecurity has proliferated. Control algorithms are generally developed and tested in the presence of reliable, trusted communication, recovering adversarial perturbations as a separate problem. This separation of concerns is architecturally infeasible; a smart grid system that is operationally optimal under benign conditions but brittle under cyberattack is not production ready. Moreover, control literature pays limited attention to the privacy implications of the fine-grained energy consumption information acquired by AMI systems, yet this has important regulatory and ethical implications. We argue that control theory, cybersecurity, and privacy-preserving computation must interdigitate in an interdisciplinary effort to tackle this growing dependency vulnerability. Another area that remains noticeably absent from demand response research is the treatment of human factors and behavioral economics. In contrast, behavioral factors

driving acceptance, response, and retention of demand response programs are often treated as overly reductive price-elasticity-driven models that too simplistically approximate true consumer choice modeling, even though technical demand response mechanisms are more extensively modeled. Programmatic field trial evidence, such as that conducted from the OPP and in various European smart metering pilots, indicates that the impact of demand response is strongly sensitive to consumer engagement and trust, two abstract dimensions which do not line up well with classic engineering optimization models. We now call for future work to incorporate more evidence from behavioral science and human-computer interaction to create demand response designs that function in realistic social and cultural contexts.

5. Discussion

Synthesizing literature reviewed suggests an indubitable trend towards more intelligent, automated and distributed operation of the power system. This convergence is creating control paradigms that were computationally intractable only ten years ago, utilizing data analytics, leveraging machine learning and making advanced communication technologies available. Against this background, RL and especially DRL is an attractive framework for adaptive control of complex, uncertain domains, where it has been shown to perform competitively with and even surpass model-based optimization methods for problems in voltage control, energy storage dispatch, and microgrid management. Digital twin frameworks, which combine high-fidelity simulation models with real-time operational data, are providing new capabilities for predictive maintenance, contingency analysis, and operator training that could greatly strengthen grid resilience. Interoperability and standardization are still necessary enabling conditions for achieving the smart grid vision at scale. Standards like IEC 61850, CIM, and Open ADR have performed extremely well in defining protocols and guidelines for device communication and demand response; however, the application of these standards is inconsistent across both legacy and modern heterogeneous infrastructure. New capabilities, along with new integration challenges, arise with the shift to IPv6based communication architectures and cloud and edge computing platforms. In many jurisdictions, regulatory frameworks have not kept pace with advances in technology, leading to uncertainty that reduces investment in more advanced automation. Accordingly, the smart grid discourse(s) cannot merely be framed as a technical challenge; rather, it is a sociotechnical transition that implies coordinated activity across engineering, policy, economics, and social contexts. The systemic complexity of future research agendas should require that future research be based on interdisciplinary perspectives and co-designed with utility practitioners, regulators, and end-users.

6. Conclusion

The present review and meta-analysis has comprehensively evaluated the progress of smart grid development in terms of power system automation and intelligent control. The literature surveyed documents significant technical advancements ranging from advanced metering, SCADA modernization, and integration of distributed energy resources to application of computational intelligence for power system control. Intelligent techniques

such as model predictive control (MPC), fuzzy logic (FL), neural networks (NN), and reinforcement learning (RL) have more consistently achieved better performance than conventional control methods in load forecasting, demand response (DR), voltage regulation, and microgrid management applications. Despite these advances in research, their translation into scalable, secure, and operationally validated deployments remains a work in progress, hampered by incompatibility between methodologies, limited experimental validation, inadequate integration of cyber, and inadequate attention to human behavior. The analysis has shown that the discipline would greatly gain from standardized benchmarking frameworks, co-design methods that integrate cybersecurity from the bottom-up, and more stringent study of cracked world aspects through hardware-in-the-loop and field pilot studies. The increasing accessibility of open-source simulation frameworks and publicly available utility datasets provides a key opportunity to help close reproducibility gaps and increase the speed of knowledge accumulation. The role of intelligent automation will continue to grow as power systems undergo transitions to decarbonization and decentralization. This survey provides an organized set of foundations for researchers and practitioners to identify productive research directions towards the advancement of smart grids that reflect the pressing needs of the global energy transition.

7. References

- 1 S. M. Amin and B. F. Wollenberg, "Toward a smart grid: Power delivery for the 21st century," IEEE Power Energy Mag., vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 34–41, Sep./Oct. 2005.
- 2 U.S. Department of Energy, "The Smart Grid: An Introduction," DOE, Washington, DC, USA, 2009.
- 3 S. Fang, Y. Xu, J. Wu, and S. Liu, "Smart grid The new and improved power grid: A survey," IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 944–980, 2012.
- 4 V. C. Gungor et al., "Smart grid technologies: Communication technologies and standards," IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 529–539, Nov. 2011.
- 5 K. Moslehi and R. Kumar, "A reliability perspective of the smart grid," IEEE Trans. Smart Grid, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 57–64, Jun. 2010.
- 6 G. Pepermans, J. Driesen, D. Haeseldonckx, R. Belmans, and W. D'haeseleer, "Distributed generation: Definition, benefits and issues," Energy Policy, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 787–798, Apr. 2005.
- 7 J. G. Vlachogiannis and N. D. Hatziaargyriou, "Reinforcement learning for reactive power control," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1317–1325, Aug. 2004.
- 8 T. Logenthiran, D. Srinivasan, and T. Z. Shun, "Demand side management in smart grid using heuristic optimization," IEEE Trans. Smart Grid, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1244–1252, Sep. 2012.
- 9 L. Xu and D. Chen, "Control and operation of a DC microgrid with variable generation and energy storage," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 2513–2522, Oct. 2011.
- 10 A. Parisio, E. Rikos, and L. Glielmo, "A model predictive control approach to microgrid operation optimization," IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol., vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1813–1827, Sep. 2014.

- 11 F. Garcia-Torres, C. Bordons, and M. A. Ridao, "Optimal economic schedule for a network of microgrids with hybrid energy storage system using distributed model predictive control," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 1919–1929, Mar. 2019.
- 12 H. S. Hippert, C. E. Pedreira, and R. C. Souza, "Neural networks for short-term load forecasting: A review and evaluation," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 44–55, Feb. 2001.
- 13 W. Kong, Z. Y. Dong, Y. Jia, D. J. Hill, Y. Xu, and Y. Zhang, "Short-term residential load forecasting based on LSTM recurrent neural network," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 841–851, Jan. 2019.
- 14 G. Liang, J. Zhao, F. Luo, S. R. Weller, and Z. Y. Dong, "A review of false data injection attacks against modern power systems," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1630–1638, Jul. 2017.
- 15 D. B. Rawat and C. Bajracharya, "Detection of false data injection attacks in smart grid communication systems," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1652–1656, Oct. 2015.
- 16 H. Bevrani, *Robust Power System Frequency Control*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2014.
- 17 Q.-C. Zhong and G. Weiss, "Synchronverters: Inverters that mimic synchronous generators," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1259–1267, Apr. 2011.
- 18 Z. Xu, J. Ostergaard, and M. Tøgeby, "Demand as frequency controlled reserve," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1062–1071, Aug. 2011.
- 19 W. Kempton and J. Tomic, "Vehicle-to-grid power fundamentals: Calculating capacity and net revenue," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 144, no. 1, pp. 268–279, Jun. 2005.
- 20 C. Liu, K. T. Chau, D. Wu, and S. Gao, "Opportunities and challenges of vehicle-to-home, vehicle-to-vehicle, and vehicle-to-grid technologies," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 101, no. 11, pp. 2409–2427, Nov. 2013.
- 21 K. C. Divya and J. Ostergaard, "Battery energy storage technology for power systems—An overview," *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, vol. 79, no. 4, pp. 511–520, Apr. 2009.
- 22 R. H. Lasseter, "MicroGrids," in *Proc. IEEE Power Eng. Soc. Winter Meeting*, New York, NY, USA, 2002, pp. 305–308.
- 23 J. M. Guerrero, M. Chandorkar, T.-L. Lee, and P. C. Loh, "Advanced control architectures for intelligent microgrids—Part I: Decentralized and hierarchical control," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 1254–1262, Apr. 2013.
- 24 E. Mengelkamp et al., "Designing microgrid energy markets: A case study: The Brooklyn microgrid," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 210, pp. 870–880, Jan. 2018.
- 25 X. Fang, S. Misra, G. Xue, and D. Yang, "Smart grid—The new and improved power grid: A survey," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 944–980, Fourth Quarter 2012.
- 26 A. Molderink, V. Bakker, M. G. C. Bosman, J. L. Hurink, and G. J. M. Smit, "Management and control of domestic smart grid technology," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 109–119, Sep. 2010.
- 27 F. Rahimi and A. Ipakchi, "Demand response as a market resource under the smart grid paradigm," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 82–88, Jun. 2010.

- 28 P. Palensky and D. Dietrich, "Demand side management: Demand response, intelligent energy systems, and smart loads," IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 381–388, Aug. 2011.
- 29 Z. Vale, T. Pinto, I. Praça, and H. Morais, "MASCEM: Electricity markets simulation with strategic agents," IEEE Intell. Syst., vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 9–17, Mar./Apr. 2011.
- 30 R. E. Mackay, R. H. Lasseter, and E. M. Lightner, "Distributed energy resources in the context of the smart grid," in Proc. IEEE Power Eng. Soc. General Meeting, Tampa, FL, USA, 2007, pp. 1–5.

MJAP