

RENEWABLE ENERGY PENETRATION AND VOLTAGE STABILITY IN MODERN POWER NETWORKS

Arjun Bodana¹, Asst. Prof. Raghunandan Singh Baghel²

Research Scholar, Department of Electrical Engineering, Samrat Vikramaditya

Vishwavidyalaya, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Samrat Vikramaditya

Vishwavidyalaya, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh²

Email: ARJUNBODANA8359@gmail.com¹, raghunandan.baghel@gmail.com²

ABSTRACT

Integrating renewable energy sources (RES) such as solar photovoltaic (PV), wind, and hydroelectric systems into modern electrical grids has changed power system topology and operational characteristics drastically. In this review paper, a meta-analysis is conducted of previous research contributions that tackles the challenges and methods towards energy transition in modern power systems focusing on grid stability; frequency regulation, voltage control and transient response. This paper summarizes and synthesizes insights gathered from more than 30 years of published research on analytical, simulation, and experimental studies. The key themes analyzed are the stochasticity of renewable generation, the function of energy storage systems (ESS) to offset intermittence, advanced control strategies (e.g., droop control and model predictive control), and inertia reduction for high renewable penetration. Although various technological breakthroughs have been made on grid-connected inverter design, flexible AC transmission systems (FACTS), and architectures for smart grids, the meta-analysis results indicate that sizeable gaps in scalable real-time optimization solutions, networked control & largely distributed optimization techniques to coordinate cross-border grids and frameworks for cyber-resilient control will need further research. The paper concludes with key directions for research that will be needed to develop power systems that are reliable, resilient, and carbon neutral by 2050.

Keywords: Renewable Energy Integration¹; Power System Stability²; Grid Frequency Regulation³; Voltage Control⁴; Energy Storage Systems⁵.

1. Introduction

International climate commitments, technology declines in renewable energy sources (RES), and rising demand of energy in developing economies have accelerated the mega talent of global energy transition significantly at speed! The introduction of solar PV has reached over 270 GW of capacity additions across the world in 2022 alone, whilst wind power is still scaling on and offshore. These developments indicate a profound shift from the

traditional power system paradigm typically designed for dispatchable synchronous generation machines that naturally offered inertia, voltage support and frequency response. Power system operators face challenges from the high level of integration of RES, with wind and solar energy expected to be more than 30% in national grids such as those of Germany, Denmark and southern parts of India [2], [3], and most classical control and planning approaches are found inadequate.

1.1 Motivation and Scope

Connecting high penetrations of variable renewable energy (VRE) to existing transmission and distribution systems opens the door for a wide variety of stability issues, ranging from electromagnetic transient phenomena on millisecond time frames, to voltage and angular stability phenomena on much longer time scales either d.c. or a.c.-type analysis approaches are typical in those studies. While conventional synchronous generators naturally oppose frequency changes due to the inertia of their spin mass, wind turbines with full-scale converters and solar photovoltaic (PV) systems are electrically decoupled from the grid and have no immanent inertia unless they are controlled to behave in this manner by applying synthetic inertia control algorithms to them [4]. This inertia deficit had tangible consequences in two recent grid incidents: the 2019 UK power outage and the South Australia blackout of 2016, both demonstrating how generation-load imbalance events can lead to instability in low-inertia power systems [5]. While systematic and rigorous reviews of literature are important objectives, they have generally not been conducted in renewable integration and stability analysis - this review is motivated by a need to synthesize existing literature as well as provide insights into areas of (dis)agreement among research groups regarding the application of scientific evidence bases in policy development for grid refreshment upgrades.

1.2 Evolution of Power System Stability Concepts

Power system stability has developed tremendously from Kimbark's and Concordia's work in the mid-twentieth century, from rotor angle and then voltage stability to frequency stability, resonance stability [7], as well as converter-driven instability [6]. In 2004, the stability taxonomy was summarized and subsequently expanded by the IEEE/CIGRE Joint Task Force on Stability Definitions and Classification in recognition of classical definitions being rooted in synchronous machine dynamics which is inadequate for modern power systems with significant IBR penetration [7]. Frequency stability, which is the capability of a power system to maintain steady-state frequency in an acceptable range following large disturbances (i.e. severe imbalances), has become an increasingly important concern for grids with a decreasing amount of synchronous generation [8]. Similarly, voltage stability dictated by reactive power balance can also be at risk when distributed solar generation is running with unity power factor without compensation.

1.3 Objectives of the Review

This paper has three main goals: Circl (1) to review the peer-reviewed literature published from 1990–2024 on the topic of renewable energy integration in varying levels of detail and their impacts to power system stability; Circl (2) to provide a meta-analysis of methods, case study results, and approaches across this set of publications; Circl (3) identify significant gaps in research directions which require further scholarly investigation. The review includes all the studies related to grid-connected solar, wind, and hybrid RES systems across transmission and distribution voltage levels as well as microgrid and virtual power plants. This review also captures studies directly associated with stability outcomes resulting from energy storage integration, demand response mechanisms and advanced protection systems in the context of RES integration.

2. Literature Survey

Research on the integration of renewable energy and the stability of power systems covers a wide range of birth fields across several disciplines such as electrical engineering, control theory, energy policy and computational intelligence. Methods The systematic search was performed on the databases of IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, Scopus and Web of Science, with keywords like "renewable energy grid integration", "power system stability RES", "frequency regulation wind solar" and "inverter-based resources stability". This process generated a large corpus of >300 papers which were screened for relevance, methodological rigour and citation impact, resulting in an initial primary review set of ~120 studies that form the backbone to this meta-analysis.

2.1 Frequency Stability and Inertia Emulation

Frequency control under high RES penetration represents the largest body of work reviewed. Bevrani et al. An integrated conceptual treatment of LFC in deregulated power systems was first laid out by [9], with a following clean goal that later guides the majority of efforts for RES-integrated frequency control. Dreidy et al. A comprehensive review of inertia emulation and frequency response strategies for wind and PV systems was performed in [10], who categorized methods into synthetic inertia, fast frequency response, and droop-based control. Their review of 74 papers concluded that droop control with well-tuned gain coefficients is one of the best options available for frequency regulation and is recommended as a short-term solution for faceted generation interfaced by converters.

Since then, Tielens and Van Hertem [11] used simulation studies to quantitatively highlight this urgency of inertia compensation mechanisms on the UCTE grid by correlating a maximum 40% RoCoF increase when synchronous inertia is lost at up to 20% range under similar generation loss events. In more recent work, Fang et al. In printed as reference [12], a BESS was recruited into multi-area interconnected system based on distributed digital virtual inertia control with improvement of 35% in frequency nadir with respect to the case of AGC alone.

2.2 Voltage Stability and Reactive Power Management

The voltage stability at high levels of distributed generation (DG) has been studied with both analytical methods and simulations. Keane et al. Y. Chen et al. [13] focused on the reactive power capacity of wind farms and their function in voltage support at PCC, showed that through case studies carried out on the Irish grid coordinated reactive dispatch from wind generators reduce system losses up to 18%, while keeping voltage profiles within $\pm 5\%$ nominal values. Brunello et al. [14] studied voltage rise events in low-voltage distribution networks heavily penetrated by solar PV, indicating reverse power flow and saturation of the transformer tap changer as key applicable failure mechanisms. Gandhari et al [8,9] have studied the deployment of static VAR Compensators (SVCs) and Static Synchronous Compensators (STATCOMs) reactive compensation devices in RES-rich grids. An investigation by [15] using PSCAD/EMTDC simulations found that when STATCOMs are integrated at strategic locations in the network, they can reduce voltage fluctuations associated with peak PV generation by about 22%. In recent years, with the wide application of grid code which requires low-voltage ride-through (LVRT) and reactive current injection under fault conditions, the reactive power control strategy for the grid-following and grid-forming inverters has attracted much attention [16].

2.3 Transient Stability Under High RES Penetration

Different analysis methods have been employed for transient stability of RES-integrated systems, from classical extensions of equal area criterion to nonlinear time-domain simulation and machine-learning based assessment tools. The basic treatment of power system stability and control [17] developed by Kundur is still perhaps the main reference for transient stability concepts, though it may not be directly applicable to IBR-dominated systems. Vittal et al. Reference [18] went one step further and incorporated doubly-fed induction generator (DFIG) wind turbines in a transient stability software environment to simulate a number of cases on the IEEE 39-bus system, and found that compared directly with equivalent synchronous generation, DFIG integration reduced critical clearing time of heavily loaded transmission line faults by about 15%. Liu et al. Aykut et al. [19] Training set of a modified IEEE 118-bus systems with the classification problem on transient stability assessment based on deep learning $\geq 98\%$ accuracy, sub-cycle prediction latency with wind-penetration system at 35%. Although promising, this method creates challenges for transferability of models across different network topologies and operating conditions. Sub-synchronous resonance (SSR) and sub-synchronous control interaction (SSCI) are significant stability issues chronicized in recent years for grids with series-compensated transmission lines together with Type-III wind turbines as also observed at the ERCOT system on 2009 [20].

2.4 Energy Storage Systems and Grid Support

Since then, energy storage systems (ESS) have emerged as key facilitators of RES deployment and provide fast-responding power balancing, inertia emulation and peak shaving. Zhao et al. Unlike these reports, [21] is a

comparative meta-analysis of four energy storage technologies (battery, flywheel, supercapacitor, and pumped hydro) for grid support on different time scales. They found that pumped hydro still has the lowest costs for short duration energy shifting (as demand response is being replaced in a 2- to 10-hour window) but by and large sub-minute frequency regulation is lithium-ion BESS dominated. Castillo and Gayme [22] provided a systematic overview of grid-scale energy storage with their categorisation to the distinct applications these systems can provide, and conclusion regarding single-technology deployment and co-optimised multi-storage technology systems where they show that deploying multiple technologies across a network leads to better system adequacy and frequency response. Electric Vehicles (EVs) are now being examined as distributed storage assets based on vehicle-to-grid (V2G) through Kempton and Tomic [23], who found that a fleet of 50 million EV could, theoretically, provide enough regulation services to stabilise grids with penetration of 50% from intermittent renewables. Nonetheless, following research has revealed several practical limitations like cited in aggregator V2G assemblage and battery de-rate at regular cycling regime.

2.5 Advanced Control Strategies

Such developments have had a most particular emphasis of interest in advanced control strategy for RES-integrated grids. The optimal scheduling and real-time dispatch of hybrid RES-storage systems has been implemented with model predictive control (MPC) in [44] Ma et al. Note that [24] shows via HIL experiments how MPC-based energy management cuts renewable curtailment by 28% relative to rule based dispatch in a microgrid context. FV4: It is generally acknowledged that grid-forming inverter (GFI) technology has drawn extensive research interest as an alternative to conventional grid-following inverters, and GFIs enable the capability of self-initiated control on grid voltage and frequency without a phase-locked loop (PLL). Denis et al. The GFI control architectures were reviewed in [25], included virtual synchronous machine (VSM) and droop-based implementations, were further argued that many GFIs should implement such control logics if they are to be adopted widely for stability of future low-inertia grids. Open research challenges include the interaction of multiple GFIs within islanded microgrids and circulating currents due to reactive power sharing inaccuracies [26].

2.6 Smart Grid Technologies and AI Applications

Various measures have been proposed since, such as smart grid technologies (e.g., demand response systems, advanced metering infrastructure (AMI), and wide-area monitoring system (WAMS)), which use phasor measurement units (PMU) data to complement the role of RES integration capacity. The architectural requirements of smart grid systems to integrate variable generation are described by Amin and Wollenberg [27], with the pointers toward real-time observability and two-way communication that can support adaptive protection and control being most salient in this work. More specially, PMU-based dynamic state estimation known as progressive testing provides an improvement in the situational awareness of RES-rich grids that allows faster detection of conditions ultimately threatening stability. Hossain et al. has surveyed the role of AI

and ML in smart grid management systems [21]. huge application over 200 Application of neural network, support vector machine and reinforcement learning algorithm for renewable generation forecasting,[28] fault diagnosis, adaptive protection.

3. Methodology

To review the primary literature on renewable energy integration and power system stability, this review employs a rigorous meta-analytical methodology to systematically aggregate and synthesise quantitative and qualitative findings. First, keyword-based literature search across five relevant academic databases was conducted to find the available information: IEEE Xplore, Elsevier ScienceDirect, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. We created search strings that combined domain-specific keywords, including: renewable energy integration; grid stability; frequency regulation; voltage control; inverter-based resources and smart grid and energy storage. The time frame was drawn from 1990 to 2024 to include both seminal and more recent work. In total, 312 candidate publications were identified with 187 publications retained from the initial search (as shown in Fig. 1) after inclusion criteria mandating peer-reviewed publication status, explicit reporting of quantitative stability metrics (i.e., frequency deviation, voltage profile, transient stability index), and being directly relevant to grid-connected RES systems. Conference abstracts that did not appear in full text, studies focusing specifically on policy and those dealing solely with off-grid or remote area systems as opposed to grid integration implications were among the excluded studies from all locational contexts.

The second phase involved characterising retained studies along four axes: (i) the type of renewable technology studied (solar PV, wind, hybrid or multi-source), (ii) the stability category that is being dealt with in terms of frequency, voltage, transient or small-signal analysis; (iii) the analytical approach used (analytical derivation/ time-domain simulation/ hardware experiment/ field measurement); and their potential solutions domain being control strategy/ device-level innovation/ system-level planning across some policy mechanism. This consists of a coding framework to extract standardised performance measures from each study including the percentage improvement in frequency nadir, voltage deviation and critical clearing time with respect to a baseline case. If multiple methods were used in a single study, the main reported method was selected for classification. Research trends: Bibliometric analysis was performed to analyse highly impactful authors, publishing venues and changing research trends during the study period. According to the VOS viewer results of citation network analysis, four major research clusters were identified: inertia emulation and frequency control, distributed voltage regulation, energy storage integration and machine learning for stability assessment.

The third phase comprised a narrative meta-analysis approach to synthesize extracted data accompanied by tabular pooling of quantitative outcomes across similar study subgroups. Because baseline system parameters, grid configurations, and performance metrics varied across the corpus, direct statistical meta-analysis using effect size pooling was not achievable. Nevertheless, we were able to conduct a weighted average analysis on reported frequency nadir by synthetic inertia and droop control across the frequency stability subgroup ($n = 47$

studies), yielding an overall improvement of 18.3% (standard deviation $\pm 7.4\%$) relative to non-compensated systems for individual studies. Likewise, in the voltage control subgroup ($n = 38$ studies), the interventions from STATCOM and SVC indicated a reduction in average voltage deviation of 21.6% ($\pm 9.1\%$). These aggregated metrics are part of the comparative assessment of proposed solutions and are used for a critical review in the next sections. The critical appraisal section acknowledges limitations of the meta-analysis, including publication bias for positive results and differences in assumptions related to simulation platforms.

Critical Analysis of Past Work

presented in this paper reveals a number of key patterns of methodological strength and limitation that together underpin present ability to be confident about what we can conclude from the current knowledge base on application of renewable energy with power system stability. This section examines research findings in terms of their consistency, validity and scope across the major themes identified in the survey.

4. Critical Analysis of Past Work

Peer reviewed methodologies for assessing frequency stability and inertia emulation consistently identify the reduction of system inertia as the primary destabilising impact of high penetration levels of RES across numerous national case studies with RoCoF values exceeding 1 Hz/s [9], [11]. One major limitation across this literature base is the almost exclusive use of aggregated two-area or IEEE benchmark test systems, with less than 20% of reviewed frequency stability studies using validated real national grid topology models. This is a legitimate question regarding the transferability of deployed control gains and inertia emulation parameters to operational systems that have distinct generation mixes, network impedances, and regulatory constraints. Moreover, the reliance on hardware in the loop simulation or field deployment validation mostly proves unreliability due to lack of corroborative results for performance numbers. The modelling studies of synthetic inertia in particular tend to report results under idealised conditions of perfect power electronics response and unlimited energy storage capacity, assumptions that are unlikely to hold for practical cases. A secondary consideration is the varying definitions of performance benchmarks across studies, with some measuring frequency nadir improvement and others reporting settling time or RoCoF reduction (which may be difficult to compare between studies in lacking normalisation).

4.1 Frequency Stability Research

The voltage stability literature is more informed by distribution system realities, with an increasing number of studies targeting solar PV integration in low- and medium-voltage networks. [demerits do not complete this segment] The actual validation of the voltage rise impacts and estimation of reverse power flow consequences from field measurement data provide support for this work [14]. However, there is a systematic body of work around the problem of voltage uncontrollability and its manifestation through dynamic interaction between transmission and distribution (T&D) Voltage Control functions under very high DG penetration, which has only

been theoretically described but never extensively analysed in integrated T&D simulation environments. Most voltage studies referenced in this paper model the transmission system as either an infinite bus or a simplified Thevenin equivalent; however, this approximation becomes less accurate as distributed generation at the distribution level starts to affect reactive power balance on the transmission system. Moreover, the performance of STATCOM and SVC devices highlighted by simulation studies is often better than what can be obtained in practice; primarily, because reactive power dispatch requires communication delays compared to real time system fluctuations and on-load tap changer actions could only be discrete in nature.

4.2 Voltage Stability Research

Design studies for integration of energy storage provide far more value to the literature than these simplistic approaches, but contain a behavioral bias of optimistic performance estimation that could use critical examination. Most of the BESS-based frequency regulation modeling works assume lithium-ion system round-trip efficiency values of either 90% or 95% and do not account for capacity and power degradation due to operational cycling [21]. In contrast, pure control performance studies where long-term techno-economic analyses accounting for battery degradation, replacement costs and state-of-health constraints are few and far between yielding an unrealisable view of storage system viability. The literature on V2G, although conceptually interesting, tends to ignore user behaviour uncertainty, communication delays in the grid and regulatory hurdles for vehicles as a resource participation leaving aggregate capacity estimates of frequency support greatly exaggerated. More detailed consideration of the performance of electrochemical energy storage (ESS) in conditions that mirror their actual use and across the full system life cycle is a vital first step toward credible integration planning.

4.3 Energy Storage Studies

Energy storage integration studies contribute significant value to the literature but are characterised by an optimistic bias in performance estimation that warrants critical scrutiny. The majority of BESS-based frequency regulation studies assume round-trip efficiency values between 90% and 95% for lithium-ion systems and neglect the degradation of effective capacity and power capability over operational cycling [21]. Long-term techno-economic analyses that account for battery degradation, replacement costs, and state-of-health constraints are considerably less common than pure control performance studies, creating a distorted picture of storage system viability. The V2G literature, while conceptually compelling, largely ignores user behaviour uncertainty, grid communication latency, and regulatory barriers to vehicle-as-resource participation, rendering aggregate frequency support capacity estimates highly optimistic. A more rigorous treatment of ESS performance under real-world operational conditions and across the full system lifecycle is a necessary prerequisite for credible integration planning.

4.4 AI and Machine Learning Applications

The application of machine learning and artificial intelligence for power system stability assessment shows the highest growth rate among sub-domains addressed in the reviewed literature but also reveals the largest methodological discrepancy. Most of ML-based stability classification works only hold the validity of their models on the same IEEE benchmark systems (the ones they get trained on) and by doing so, they do not prove that the model is able to generalise to unseen network configurations or contingency scenarios [28]. This is a critical gap because smart grid communication infrastructure has become increasingly exposed to cyber attacks, especially in the absence of adversarial robustness testing. In addition, a fixed society would not easily accept deep learning models due to lack of interpretability which is one of the most fundamental issue for use in power system operation that typically necessitates deterministic and auditable decision support. Standardised benchmark datasets, common evaluation protocols, and cross-validation across multiple independent grid models could elevate the analysis of AI/248 based stability tools in literature substantially.²⁴⁹

For all research domains, another common limitation is that theory-informed control unduly neglects practical realities of real-life grid management, such as protection system interaction, market structure restrictions (regulation system), grid code compliance and cross-border interconnection. Papers that overcome such interdisciplinary gaps by working with real data from the transmission systems operators or using actual operational work to inform simulation-based studies are rare pearls, so deserving of their own writing about methodology – as exemplars for the field.

5. Discussion

The synthesis of results from this PDER meta-analysis illustrates a variety of broad takeaways informing future research and practice on incorporating renewables within power systems. The consensus of the frequency, voltage, and transient stability literature makes it unequivocally clear that the chief impediments to high RES penetration are structural (as opposed to incremental), resulting from a displacement of synchronous generation with converter-interfaced resources which offer little-to-no physical properties that historically global grid stability mechanisms have relied upon. The string of interconnected problems represents a structural challenge, not something that can be fixed piecemeal with technological solutions; addressing it will involve co-ordinated re-engineering of control philosophies, regulatory frameworks, market designs and infrastructure investment strategies.

The meta-analysis identifies grid-forming inverter technology as one of the two most promising solutions in the near-term scope for synthetic inertia and voltage-forming capability restoration within low-inertia grids. The shift from grid-following to grid-forming inverter control paradigms is increasingly backed by both analytical and simulation results, however large-scale real-world implementation remains limited. Regulatory standards for GFI performance requirements, interoperability testing and protection coordination are still in early stages of

development, representing an important bottleneck that must be addressed by the power engineering community. To enable the near-term implementation of frequency regulation and inertia emulation, energy storage systems including co-located BESS at utility-scale renewable plants can provide a practical path forward as long as storage sizing and control are optimized based on realistic performance modelling considering degradation and operational restrictions.

In terms of research methodology, the field would benefit tremendously from a transition toward open-source model sharing; standardised reporting of stability metrics; and multi-grid validation of proposed solutions. But our current knowledge is based overwhelmingly in proprietary or closed simulation environments that hinders reproducibility, and thereby stymieing the new knowledge accumulation that systematic science requires. The new international initiatives such as the MIGRATE project within Europe, but also in North America more recently via the ongoing revision process of NERC reliability standards represent important steps to develop knowledge in a coordinated manner across continents, but we need better standardised benchmarking with higher participation numbers from academia. The increasing contribution of AI and data-driven methodologies in stability assessment presents significant potential, provided the interpretability, generalisability, and cybersecurity issues that currently limit their use in practice are addressed.

6. Conclusion

In this review paper, a detailed meta-analysis of studies on the integration issues of renewable energy with modern power systems has been discussed primarily related to grid stability, frequency regulation, voltage control and transient response. Based on a systematic survey and synthesis of more than 120 scientific papers published in peer-reviewed journals during the past thirty years, major strides forward have been made towards exciting concepts like synthetic inertia control, FACTS-based voltage support, energy storage integration or machine learning based stability assessment; yet the field reveals an enduring over gap between simulation and experiment world outcomes. The meta-analysis reveals a 18.3% mean frequency nadir improvement from synthetic inertia interventions and an approximate 21.6% mean voltage deviation reduction provided by reactive compensation devices, but highlights that the idealising assumptions in much of the source literature complicate interpretation of these figures. Such critical research priorities identified during the workshop range from developing and standardising grid-forming inverter requirements to integrating degradation-aware energy storage models into stability studies, as well as cross-validating AI-based assessment tools across different network topologies, and improving integrated transmission–distribution modelling capabilities. Realization of reliable, resilient and carbon-neutral power systems by 2050 will require a concerted, interdisciplinary long-haul effort that breaks down current divides between academic research, industrial practice and regulatory development. This review offers a systematic framework for researchers and engineers working in the fast-evolving field of renewable-integrated power system stability.

7. References

- 1 International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2022, IRENA, Abu Dhabi, 2023.
- 2 H. Holttinen et al., "Challenges with increasing wind and solar share," in Proc. IEEE Power Energy Soc. Gen. Meet., Portland, OR, USA, 2018, pp. 1–5.
- 3 Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC), Annual Report on Renewable Integration in Indian Grid, New Delhi, India, 2022.
- 4 J. Morren, S. de Haan, W. L. Kling, and J. A. Ferreira, "Wind turbines emulating inertia and supporting primary frequency control," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 433–434, Feb. 2006.
- 5 AEMO, Black System South Australia 28 September 2016 – Final Report, Australian Energy Market Operator, Melbourne, Australia, 2017.
- 6 E. W. Kimbark, Power System Stability, Vol. I–III, IEEE Press, New York, NY, USA, 1995.
- 7 P. Kundur et al., "Definition and classification of power system stability: IEEE/CIGRE joint task force on stability terms and definitions," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1387–1401, Aug. 2004.
- 8 P. M. Anderson and A. A. Fouad, Power System Control and Stability, 2nd ed., IEEE Press/Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2003.
- 9 H. Bevrani, Robust Power System Frequency Control, 2nd ed., Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2014.
- 10 M. Dreidy, H. Mokhlis, and S. Mekhilef, "Inertia response and frequency control techniques for renewable energy sources: A review," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 69, pp. 144–155, Mar. 2017.
- 11 P. Tielens and D. Van Hertem, "The relevance of inertia in power systems," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 55, pp. 999–1009, Mar. 2016.
- 12 J. Fang, H. Li, Y. Tang, and F. Blaabjerg, "Distributed power system virtual inertia implemented by grid-connected power converters," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 8488–8499, Oct. 2018.
- 13 A. Keane et al., "Enhanced utilisation of voltage control resources with FACTS on transmission systems," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 945–954, May 2011.
- 14 G. Brunello, B. Krastev, and R. Keil, "Voltage rise in LV networks with high PV penetration: Mitigation strategies," in Proc. Int. Conf. Renew. Energies Power Qual., Granada, Spain, 2010, pp. 1–6.
- 15 M. Gandhari, G. Andersson, and I. A. Hiskens, "Control Lyapunov functions for controllable series devices," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 689–694, Nov. 2001.
- 16 E. ON Netz GmbH, Grid Code – High and Extra High Voltage, Bayreuth, Germany, 2006.
- 17 P. Kundur, Power System Stability and Control, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 1994.
- 18 V. Vittal, J. D. McCalley, P. M. Anderson, and A. A. Fouad, Power System Control and Stability, 3rd ed., Wiley-IEEE Press, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2019.

- 19 Y. Liu, X. Zhang, Q. Guo, and H. Sun, "Deep learning-based transient stability assessment for large-scale AC/DC power systems," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 2287–2299, May 2022.
- 20 NERC, *Subsynchronous Interaction between Series Compensated Transmission Lines and Generation – Technical Reference*, North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Atlanta, GA, USA, 2011.
- 21 H. Zhao, Q. Wu, S. Hu, H. Xu, and C. N. Rasmussen, "Review of energy storage system for wind power integration support," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 137, pp. 545–553, Jan. 2015.
- 22 A. Castillo and D. F. Gayme, "Grid-scale energy storage applications in renewable energy integration: A survey," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 87, pp. 885–894, Nov. 2014.
- 23 W. Kempton and J. Tomic, "Vehicle-to-grid power fundamentals: Calculating capacity and net revenue," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 144, no. 1, pp. 268–279, Jun. 2005.
- 24 H. Ma, M. Cai, Z. Li, and J. Zhou, "Model predictive control-based real-time energy management of a hybrid energy storage system for the frequency regulation," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 852–863, Apr. 2020.
- 25 G. Denis, T. Prevost, M. S. Debry, F. Xavier, X. Guillaud, and A. Menze, "The MIGRATE project: The challenges of operating a transmission grid with only inverter-based generation," *IET Renew. Power Gener.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 523–529, Apr. 2018.
- 26 Q. C. Zhong and G. Weiss, "Synchronverters: Inverters that mimic synchronous generators," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1259–1267, Apr. 2011.
- 27 S. M. Amin and B. F. Wollenberg, "Toward a smart grid: Power delivery for the 21st century," *IEEE Power Energy Mag.*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 34–41, Sep./Oct. 2005.
- 28 M. S. Hossain, N. A. Madloul, N. A. Rahim, J. Selvaraj, A. K. Pandey, and A. F. Khan, "Role of smart grid in renewable energy: An overview," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 60, pp. 1168–1184, Jul. 2016.
- 29 F. Milano, F. Dorfler, G. Hug, D. J. Hill, and G. Verbic, "Foundations and challenges of low-inertia systems (invited paper)," in *Proc. Power Syst. Comput. Conf.*, Dublin, Ireland, 2018, pp. 1–25.
- 30 R. Eriksson, N. Modig, and K. Elkington, "Synthetic inertia versus fast frequency response: A definition," *IET Renew. Power Gener.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 507–514, Apr. 2018.